The Orthotropic Neobladder; How to Make It Easy?
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Abstract

Radical cystectomy and urinary diversion is the standard treatment for patients with muscle invasive bladder cancer. Urinary diversion after radical cystectomy requires skill and expertise. Multiple techniques of urinary diversion are present. However, the orthotropic neobladder (OBS) may be better in terms of quality of life. For the sake of simplicity, neobladder reconstruction is better divided into the following stages;

- Stage I: Patient selection and preparation
- Stage II: Radical cystectomy and lymphadenectomy
- Stage III: Neobladder reconstruction
- Stage IV: Uretero- and Urethro-enteric anastomosis
- Stage V: Postoperative management
- Stage VI: Management of complications
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Introduction

Radical cystectomy (RCX) and urinary diversion is the standard treatment for patients with muscle invasive bladder cancer and some patients with non-muscle invasive disease who failed the intra-vesical treatment [1]. Multiple techniques of urinary diversion are present. However, the orthotropic neobladder (OBS) may be better in terms of quality of life, as it is the closest to the normal bladder in location and function. However, it is measured as one of the most difficult urologic procedure [2]. In this short review, we try to simplify the basic principles of neobladder reconstruction for the beginners to make their practice easier. For the sake of simplicity, neobladder reconstruction is better divided into stages; the higher stages need higher experience. We divide it into the following stages;

- Stage I: Patient selection and preparation
- Stage II: Radical cystectomy and lymphadenectomy
- Stage II: Neobladder reconstruction
- Stage IV: Uretero- and Urethro-enteric anastomosis
- Stage V: Postoperative management
- Stage VI: Management of complications

Stage I: Patient selection and preparation

To start with, successful neobladder reconstruction starts with appropriate patient selection and preparation. The main pillars of this stage that should be well recognized are; the indications, contraindications, how to counsel the patients and the peri-operative preparations.

In general, two important criteria must be maintained in patients indicated for RCX to be eligible for OBS: an intact rhabdosphincter to preserve the urinary continence and the radicality of surgery should not be compromised by the retained membranous urethra to which the neobladder will be anastomosed.

On the contrary, the contraindications are multiple and diverse. This implies that the selection criteria are strict and the patient should be an “A1” patient medically and mentally. It includes, patients indicated for urethrectomy as those with bladder neck tumors and extensive infiltrations of the prostate, urethral sphincteric or stricture diseases, those with permanently compromised renal function or severely impaired liver functions, increased risk of metabolic complications as those with previous bowel resection or severe diverticulosis,
mental or physical impairments that precludes the ability to self-catheterize when this is necessary, incompliant patients for postoperative regular follow-up, impossibility of nerve-sparing surgery at least on one side, high dose of peri operative radiotherapy and Age > 80 years [3]. The age is not an absolute contraindication and the differentiation between the biological and chronological age is the most important. However, the age of 80 may be the stimulus not to do OBS, as it may be associated with a higher incidence of postoperative morbidity but without increased mortality even in high volume centers [4].

Counseling is very critical. The patient should know all the risks and benefits of this surgery. He/she should know that the possibility of conversion to other diversion forms is an option due to any intra-operative oncological, anatomic or anesthetic reasons [3]. Also, the expectation should be realistic, he/she should know that his neobladder is not a new bladder and there is no urinary diversion technique that could replace all the functions of the natural bladder.

RCX needs an extensive preoperative preparation, careful intra-operative and postoperative manipulations to optimize the functional outcomes. In 2014, published in the European urology a 22 items designed for enhanced recovery after Surgery (ERAS). These include: preoperative medical optimization, bowel preparations can be safely avoided, omission of long-term sedative, thrombosis prophylaxis, antimicrobial prophylaxis 1 hr before skin incision, skin preparation with Chlorhexidine-alcohol to decrease surgical site infection, epideral analgesia should continue for 72 hrs to relieve pain without opioids, careful peri-operative fluid management and avoidance of intra-operative hyperthermia, early removal or no nasogastric tube use, prevention of postoperative ileus and nausea and vomiting, early mobilization and early oral diet. They concluded that these ERAS items improve the patient care, decrease the postoperative morbidity and the length of hospital stay [5].

**Stage II: Radical cystectomy and lymphadenectomy**

When discussing the stage of RCX, we will not discuss the surgery on details, but we will stress on three important issues; timing of surgery, how quality of RCX and lymphadenectomy will affect the outcome and lastly certain surgical steps should be done carefully and others should be avoided.

First, timing is very critical as it was shown that there is window of opportunity of about 3 months, after which delaying cystectomy may be associated with increase in the risk of progression and cancer specific mortality [6].

Second, how quality of surgery affecting the outcome is evident from the following; positive surgical margin occurs in 4% with high volume urologists and 14% in low volume ones. Local recurrence will develop in 6% of margin negative patients if compared to 68% of margin positive counterparts. The Mortality rate is about 0.7% in high volume hospitals and 3.1% in low volume ones [7,8].

Certain surgical steps during RCX will affect the reconstructive functional outcome greatly. Ureteric dissection should be done carefully to preserve its vascularity and preserve the lower most part of the ureters which is very important factor in reflux prevention. The pressure in the lower part of the ureter is about 20-30 cm of water and the bladder end filling pressure is 20cm for an optimal cystometric capacity of 450 cm. So, this pressure difference is a safety margin [9].

Multiple techniques of dorsal vein ligation are present; it should be done carefully to avoid bleeding. If done so, it will help so much in preparation of the urethral stump in a traumatic way with preservation of a well-functioning urethral length and performing nerve sparing RCX in a visually clear field which not only improve the sexual function but also affect continence status [10,11].

On the contrary, prostatic capsule and seminal sparing RCX should be avoided. It may improve the postoperative erectile function but does not really improve the continence status. In the meantime, 10-15% higher oncologic failure rate makes it preferably should be avoided [12,13].

Regarding lymphadenectomy (PLND), extended PLND should be considered the standard in patients undergoing RCX as it will clear up to 90% of the lymph nodes if compared to 50% nodal yield when a limited PLND is only performed [14]. When an extended PLND was compared to limited one in patients with spT3P N0.2 disease, the 5 years recurrence-free survival was significantly better (49 vs. 19%, respectively) [15]. Surprisingly, with expanding the template to the inferior mesenteric artery, similar survival and recurrence rates in pT2-3cN0 cM0 patients were found as extended PLND [16]. This may be due to the lymph node metastases higher than the common iliac bifurcation is a characteristic of systematic disease which cannot be controlled by extensive surgery [17].

**Stage III: Neobladder reconstruction**

Certain goals should be achieved to obtain a good reservoir which are: large capacity, low intra-luminal pressure, no reflux, continence preservation and minimal absorption of urinary solutes.

All intestinal segments were extensively studied for reservoir reconstruction. However, the intestinal segment which stood the test of time is the ileum due to the following reasons; it is more distensible if compared to other segments [18], has favorable urodynamic parameters in the form of large capacity, better compliance and lower filling pressure [19], better continence rates if compared with colonic neobladder [20], its mucosal atrophy with less reabsorption of urinary solutes is more reliable than the large bowel [21] and finally the ease with which the small bowel can be surgically manipulated.

Originally, neobladders were reconstructed from larger intestinal segments as the original Studer (56-60cm) and
Hautmann (70cm) ileal neobladders to improve the nighttime incontinence [22,23]. However, for more than two decades, 40-45cm of the ileum, 25cm apart from the ileo-cecal valve proved to be quite sufficient [24,25]. The functional capacity will increase within weeks or months from 150 to 500 ml. This will decrease the incidence of chronic retention with low intra-luminal pressure [26]. The postoperative electrolyte disturbance or metabolic acidosis will be minimized [27]. Also, preservation of the terminal part of the ileum and the ileo-cecal valve will decrease the postoperative diarrhea and vitamin B12 deficiency [28]. The risk of spontaneous rupture will decrease as the tension on the large reservoir when filled with urine is significantly higher than in small reservoir with the same intra-luminal pressure [27].

Configuration of the reservoir will affect the functional outcome to a great extent. Detubularization and cross folding will minimize the development of high pressure peaks. A spherical reservoir has multiple advantages, the maximum radius according to Laplace’s law will be obtained, so the maximum volume to surface area ratio with lower end filling pressure will result. Also, higher wall tension (tension=pressure × radius) in response to the urethral closure pressure will make the sensation of fullness is more likely [3]. Also, there is metabolic advantage as the length of the bowel resection and the area available for reabsorption from the reservoir are minimized [29].

Stage IV: Uretero- and Urethro-enteric anastomosis

The uretero-enteric and urethro-enteric anastomosis are two risky steps during neobladder reconstruction. Improper reconstruction will endanger the upper urinary tract or the whole urinary tract respectively. Good anastomosis should be tension free, water tight, mucosa to mucosa and stented. Regarding ureteric reimplantation, to do or not to do anti-refluxing technique is a matter of debate [30]. However, the stricture rate is generally higher with anti-refluxing techniques. Regarding the urethro-enteric anastomosis, there are 2 types of neourethra; hole and non-hole techniques. In order to perform safe urethro-enteric anastomosis, we should preserve well-functioning urethral length and prepare the urethral stump carefully, the neourethra should be wide button hole, most dependent, mucosa to mucosa, tension free with no leakage or tube anastomosis. If the urethro-enteric anastomosis is under tension, certain sequential steps should be performed; careful selection of bowel loops, opening of the peritoneum covering the mesentery, releasing the mesenteric fat, removing the sigmoid colon out of the pelvis, reducing the steep of trendelnburg positioning angle, perineal pressure and freeing the right colonic junction and moving the ileum and the right colon downwards.

Stage V: Post-operative management

Meticulous postoperative care and life-long follow up are very critical for good long-term results [23]. In the immediate postoperative setting, thrombosis prophylaxis by subcutaneous heparin should be administered preferably in the arm instead of the thigh to prevent lymphocyte. The neobladder should be irrigated gently and frequently to avoid mucous accumulation. Bowel stimulation with para sympathomimetics should be instituted from day 2 or 3. Serial body weight and blood gas analysis should be measured [3].

Regarding the catheters and stents, the time of their removal is debated. Originally, the ureteric catheters, supra-pubic tube and the urethral catheters were removed at about 10, 12 and 21 days respectively. However, in updated experience of some experts, they stated that they could be removed at days 5-7, 8-10 (after cystogram) and at 10-12 respectively [3].

Following catheter removal, patients are carefully instructed how to void. Initially, in a sitting position every 2 h during the day by slight increase the intra-abdominal pressure. Thereafter every 3 h; later every 4 h until approaching a capacity of 400-500 ml. use of alarm clock at night to avoid nocturnal enuresis.

Serial check of the residual urine, urine analysis, venous blood gas analysis and supplement of bicarbonate (2-6g) and salt whenever indicated should be performed. Long-term follow-up is very important to early detect and manage the following events; metabolic complications (vitamin B12, electrolytes, base excess), voiding complains (incontinence, difficulty and increased mucous production due to infection), occurrence of delayed complications (neobladder outlet obstruction or uretero-enteric strictures) and oncological failure [3].

Stage VI: Management of complications

RCX is the most difficult urologic procedure with a wide range of peri-operative complications even with most experienced surgeons with a post operative complications rate of 25-57%. However, the rate of severe and lethal complications is acceptably low with in-hospital mortality of 3% and re-operative rates of 2.3-17%. The complications should be classified by the five-grade modification of the original Clavien system [3].

Management of neobladder complications requires patience and expertise. It could be managed either by endoscopic, laparoscopic or open surgery routes. But, the minimally invasive endoscopic management should be tried first, whenever possible. As it saves a lot of hazards that may occur with laparoscopic or open surgery due to marked intra-abdominal adhesions and allows the patient to return faster to normal daily activity. Uretero-enteric stricture, pouch stones, recurrent neobladder tumors, urethral recurrence and urethro-enteric stenosis all could be managed endoscopically [28].

Conclusion

In conclusion, RCX and OBS is an advanced multi-steps urologic procedure. To make it easy, certain precautions should be followed. We should carefully select our patients. Standard RCX and extended PLND should be performed. Dorsal vein ligation and urethral stump preparation should be done cautiously as they are risky key steps. Familiarity with other
urinary diversion techniques is important for the urologists. Intra-operative findings may change the plane. Regular life-long follow up for delayed complications is important. For best outcomes, a regular skilled operative team and a high volume well-equipped hospital with high case load are mandatory.
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