



Short Communication

Volume 4 Issue 2 - February 2020 DOI: 10.19080/JHNSS.2020.04.555632 $\label{lem:J-Head-Neck-Spine-Surg} \textbf{Lopyright} \ @ \ \textbf{All rights} \ \textbf{are} \ \textbf{reserved} \ \textbf{by} \ \textbf{Augusta} \ \textbf{Silveira}$

Head and Neck Cancer, Precision Medicine and Health Related Quality of Life: The Patient Is the Keystone



Augusta Silveira^{1,2}* Eurico Monteiro^{1,3} and Teresa Sequeira^{1,2}

- ¹Faculty of Health Sciences (UFP-FCS), Fernando Pessoa University, Portugal
- ²Centre for Health Studies and Research of the University of Coimbra (CEISUC), Portugal
- ³Director of the Head & Neck Unit-Portuguese Oncology Institute- Porto (IPOP), Portugal

Submission: February 11, 2020; Published: February 19, 2020

*Corresponding author: Augusta Silveira, Faculty of Health Sciences (UFP-FCS), Fernando Pessoa University, Portugal.

Abstract

Precision medicine is nothing new in health context, neither in oncology clinical practice. Although, focusing on the "individual" remains trendy, actual, relevant and opportune either for clinical practice, research purposes and particularly, for patients' lives. Patient- reported outcomes are multidimensional and subjective measures that can be objectively quantified. They reflect patients' perceptions about their health-status, symptoms, functioning, satisfaction degree with healthcare, health behaviors and health related quality of life. Patient- reported outcomes can capture the voice and experience during the head and neck patient disease journey favoring communication and shared decision-making and engaging both patients and healthcare providers. This approach can demystify procedures, clarify concerns about future and favors emotional support. Moving towards personalized healthcare in head and neck cancer clinical practice brings us emerging challenges in the next few years. Pharmacogenomics, gene testing, health outcomes measures, and patient- reported outcomes are all crucial tools to find a direction and achieve the common objective: find the best path forward! The patient is the keystone!

Keywords: Head and neck cancer; Oncology; Patient reported outcomes; Precision medicine; Health related quality of life

Abbreviations: HRQoL: Health Related Quality of Life; PRO: Patient Reported Outcomes

Introduction

Over the past six decades, organizational culture and quality of healthcare have been substantially discussed and improved. Analysis, reflection and implementation of strategies, able to optimize quality of products and services, were in focus. Greater responsiveness was promoted, a diversity of development trajectories was favored and personalization in healthcare was highlighted. Such line of thinking meets a global political, economic and social trend observed since the 90's, when greater pressure is applied in the health sector so that it become challenged with accountability, transparency, equal access to healthcare and optimization of the effectiveness of all related services.

The patient is the keystone: Precision Medicine

During the recent decade, the singularity and uniqueness of the individual have been celebrated and recognized within the media, arts, and sciences - biomedical field included. Person alized medicine is nothing new in health context, neither in oncology clinical practice. Although, focusing on the "individual" remains trendy, actual, relevant and opportune either for clinical practice, research purposes and particularly, for patients' lives. Personalized or more recently used, precision medicine, ensures a better, and tailored care for the individual patient by enabling earlier diagnoses, risk assessments, and optimal treatments. Additionally, precision medicine has the potential to increase patient confidence and compliance to the treatment. The patient is the keystone! [1,2]. Health promotion and protection is the first goal in nowadays medicine. Identification of individual disease susceptibility and prediction of individual response to a treatment is closely related to molecular, genetics, physiological profile, environmental exposure and behavioral factors. This global - and at the same time individual understanding - is a complex challenge that involves researchers, clinicians and patients [1].

The patient is the keystone: Patient Reported Outcomes and Health Related Quality of Life

Patient-Reported Outcomes (PRO) are multidimensional and subjective measures that can be objectively quantified. PRO reflect patients' perceptions about their health-status, symptoms, functioning, satisfaction degree with healthcare, health behaviors and health related quality of life (HRQoL). HRQoL is a multidimensional and subjective concept considered a major issue in the assessment of PRO in head and neck cancer patients. HRQoL research is grounded on patient perceptions. HRQoL has been used both as a concept and as a health outcome measure, related to cancer randomized controlled trials and clinical practice, providing information about treatment risks, benefits and tolerability [3,4]. PRO is traditionally linked to patient symptoms' and functional assessment, both in current trials and head and neck clinical practice [5,6]. First studies were mainly based on symptomatology and made relevant contributions for our current, broad and deep understanding of the distinct HRQoL impacts caused by different treatments [7].

Head and neck cancer patients often experienced extensive physical, emotional, and social suffering. Confrontation with disease is no longer a passive and absolutely lonely journey, where individuals are merely targets for radiation, or chemotherapy agents that evolved to effectively destroy cancer cells. Because side effects do exist, unfortunately, both individual perception of the disease, and the development of different treatment options contribute to the wide range of HRQoL impacts [8]. PRO that reflect head and neck cancer patient HRQoL and that demonstrated value in oncology healthcare, are being used as helpful metrics to support both politian's and decision makers in order to establish benchmarks for the quality of care [6,9]. The value of PRO-as prognostic, or stratification factors in research across head and neck cancer-has been highlighted and emphasizing the importance of domains such as physical functioning, global health and global quality of life as significant determinants for prognostic prediction [10].

Research identify some conditions that affects the individual response to disease and that have a direct impact on prognosis: feeling neglected, helpless, intimidated and disempowered by lack of information, having fear and worry for the future, hope, emotional support and encouragement, validated personhood and companionship, safety in trust, right to individual knowledge and choice; control over own life; partnership and respect; capacity for self-management [11]. PRO can capture the voice and experience during the head and neck patient disease journey favoring communication and shared decision-making and engaging both patients and healthcare providers. This approach can demystify procedures, clarify concerns about future and favors emotional support [12,13]. PRO routine assessment revealed to be valuable for the access to important volumes of data and added to HRQoL research the potentiality of making predictions [14,15]. Patients

may now, consciously, choose the treatment approach based on predicted outcomes, as well on the knowledge of the most critical moments they may face throughout the disease [16].

Interestingly, the evolution of QoL research and the access to larger databases has allowed greater knowledge beyond the physical domains, clearly the most intensively investigated to date. Indeed, and a number of studies are now particularly focused on emotional domains - such anxiety and depression - and individuality currently gains further importance [17,18]. Despite all advantages of including PRO in head and neck cancer randomized clinical trials and practice, a lack of consciousness concerning analysis and data interpretation has been signalized [19]. Critical literature reviews and structured collaborative process are fundamental. Some challenges are defined: adoption of clear standard research objectives, improve appropriate statistical methods and terminology for PRO analysis, and finally deciding how to manage missing data [4]. Our team is continuous working on PRO analysis and optimization, involved in the development of personalized PRO measures and in the implementation of PRO daily assessment in oncology - head and neck cancer has been our main goal for more than one decade. Moving towards personalized healthcare in head and neck cancer clinical practice brings us emerging challenges in the next few years. Pharmacogenomics, gene testing, health outcomes measures, and PRO are all crucial tools to find a direction and achieve the common objective: find the best path forward! The patient is the keystone!

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Goetz LH, Schork NJ (2018) Personalized medicine: motivation, challenges, and progress. Fertil Steril 109(6): 952-963.
- Sharrer GT (2017) Personalized Medicine: Ethical Aspects. Methods Mol Biol 1606: 37-50.
- 3. Sequeira T, Monteiro E, Carvalho L, Silveira A (2017) 10-Year Experience: Routine Assessment of Health

Related Quality of Life in Head & Neck Cancer Patients. Global Journal of Otolaryngology 12(2): 42-44.

- Coens C, Pe M, Dueck AC, Sloan J, Basch E, et al. (2020) International standards for the analysis of quality-of-life and patient-reported outcome endpoints in cancer randomised controlled trials: recommendations of the SISAQOL Consortium. Lancet Oncol 21(2):e 83-e96.
- 5. Armstrong TS, Dirven L, Arons D, Bates A, Chang SM, et al. (2020) Glioma patient-reported outcome assessment in clinical care and research: a Response Assessment in Neuro-Oncology collaborative report. Lancet Oncol 21(2): e97-e103.
- Silveira A, Monteiro E, Sequeira T (2018) Head and Neck Cancer: Improving Patient-Reported Outcome Measures for Clinical Practice. Curr Treat Options in Oncol 19(11): 59.
- Lardas M, Liew M, van den Bergh RC, De Santis M, Bellmunt J, et al. (2017) Quality of Life Outcomes after Primary Treatment for Clinically Localised Prostate Cancer: A Systematic Review. Eur Urol 72(6): 869-885

Journal of Head Neck & Spine Surgery

- Sequeira T, Lopes Ferreira P, Teixeira J, Peres I, Oliveira J, et al. (2015)
 Patient-Reported Outcomes in Prostate Cancer: Prospective Changes
 Analysis for Prognosis Prediction. Journal of Cancer Therapy 6: 12381248
- Lagendijk M, Mittendorf E, King TA, Gibbons C, Pusic A, et al. (2019) Incorporating Patient-Reported Outcome Measures into Breast Surgical Oncology: Advancing Toward Value-Based Care. Oncologist: 2019-0355.
- Mierzynska J, Piccinin C, Pe M, Martinelli F, Gotay C, et al. (2019) Prognostic value of patient-reported outcomes from international randomised clinical trials on cancer: a systematic review. Lancet Oncol 20(12): e685-e698.
- Lin B, Gutman T, Hanson C, Ju A, Manera K, et al. (2020) Communication during childhood cancer: Systematic review of patient perspectives. Cancer 126(4): 701-716.
- 12. Grewal AS, Berman AT (2019) Patient-Centered Outcomes in Radiation Oncology. Hematol Oncol Clin North Am 33(6): 1105-1116.
- Silveira A, Amaral C, Castro AR, Monteiro E, Pimentel F, Sequeira T (2018) Cancer Palliative Care: Technology Support for Quality of Life Assessment of Family Caregivers. Procedia Computer Science 138: 294-302.

- 14. Wu X, Ye Y, Barcenas CH, Chow WH, Meng QH, et al. (2017) Personalized Prognostic Prediction Models for Breast Cancer Recurrence and Survival Incorporating Multidimensional Data. J Natl Cancer Inst 109(7).
- 15. Vos E, Koppert L, van Lankeren W, Verhoef C, Koerkamp BG, et al. (2018) A preliminary prediction model for potentially guiding patient choices between breast conserving surgery and mastectomy in early breast cancer patients; a Dutch experience. Qual Life Res 27(2): 545-553
- 16. Chambers SK, Ng SK, Baade P, Aitken JF, Hyde MK, et al. (2017) Trajectories of quality of life, life satisfaction, and psychological adjustment after prostate cancer. Psychooncology 26(10): 1576-1585.
- 17. Cillessen L, Schellekens MPJ, Van de Ven MOM, Donders ART, Compen FR, et al. (2018) Consolidation and prediction of long-term treatment effect of group and online mindfulness-based cognitive therapy for distressed cancer patients. Acta Oncol 57(10): 1293-1302.
- Bradt J, Dileo C, Magill L, Teague A (2016) Music interventions for improving psychological and physical outcomes in cancer patients. Cochrane Database Syst Rev (8): CD006911.
- 19. Bottomley A, Pe M, Sloan J, Basch E, Bonnetain F, et al. (2018) Moving forward toward standardizing analysis of quality of life data in randomized cancer clinical trials. Clin Trials (6): 624-630.



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License DOI: 10.19080/JHNSS.2020.04.555632

Your next submission with Juniper Publishers will reach you the below assets

- · Quality Editorial service
- Swift Peer Review
- · Reprints availability
- E-prints Service
- Manuscript Podcast for convenient understanding
- · Global attainment for your research
- Manuscript accessibility in different formats (Pdf, E-pub, Full Text, Audio)
- · Unceasing customer service

Track the below URL for one-step submission

https://juniperpublishers.com/online-submission.php